UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/791,914 | 03/03/2004 | Lee-Yin Chee | SE0044 | 3848 |
| | 7590 09/23/201 TERRILE, LLP - AM | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 203518 AUSTIN, TX 78720 | | | CEHIC, KENAN | |
| AUSTIN, IA / | 8720 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2473 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/23/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision |
|---------------------------------|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief |
| Review |

| Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination |
|-------------------------|---|
| 10/791,914 | CHEE ET AL. |
| | Art Unit |
| KENAN CEHIC | 2473 |
| | |

| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal | Brief Request for Review filed 22 June 2010. |
|---|--|
| Improper Request – The Representation (s): | Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following |
| ☐ The request does not incl | not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. |
| | nse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received. |
| held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal browning will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no | ht Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal in from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date able. |
| ☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-6</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from cor | |
| | conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by |
| | conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time. |
| All participants: | |
| (1) <u>KENAN CEHIC</u> . | (3) <u>Chirag Shah</u> . |
| (2) <u>Kwang B. Yao</u> . | (4) |
| /KWANG B. YAO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2473 | /Chirag G Shah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2477 |